arXiv:0912.2442v3 [math.NT] 6 Sep 2010

Contribution to Vojtěch Jarník

by Nikolay Moshchevitin[1]

Abstract

We find new inequalities between uniform and individual Diophantine exponents for three-dimensional Diophantine approximations. Also we give a result for two linear forms in two variables. The results improves V.Jarník's theorem (1954).

1. V.Jarník's theorem. This paper deals with real numbers only. We consider a matrix

$$\Theta = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \theta_1^1 & \cdots & \theta_1^m \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \theta_n^1 & \cdots & \theta_n^m \end{array} \right),$$

and a system of linear forms

$$L_j(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \theta_j^i x_i, \ \ \mathbf{x} = (x_1, ..., x_m).$$

Let

$$\psi_\Theta(t) = \min_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{Z}^m :\, 0<M(\mathbf{x})\leqslant t} \ \max_{1\leqslant j\leqslant n} ||L_j(\mathbf{x})||, \ \ M(\mathbf{x}) = \max_{1\leqslant j\leqslant m} |x_i|$$

Suppose that $\psi_\Theta(t) > 0$ for all $t > 0$ and define the *uniform* Diophantine exponent $\alpha(\Theta)$ as the supremum of the set

$$\{\gamma > 0 : \ \limsup_{t\to+\infty} t^\gamma \psi_\Theta(t) < +\infty\},$$

The *individual* Diophantine exponent $\beta(\Theta)$ is defined as the supremum of the set

$$\{\gamma > 0 : \ \liminf_{t\to+\infty} t^\gamma \psi_\Theta(t) < +\infty\}.$$

In [1] V.Jarník proved the following result.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that $\psi_\Theta(t) > 0$ for all $t > 0$.*

(i) *Consider the case $m = 1$ and suppose that among numbers $\theta_1^1, ..., \theta_n^1$ there exist at least two numbers which are linearly independent together with 1 over $\mathbb{Z}$. Then*

$$\beta(\Theta) \geqslant \frac{(\alpha(\Theta))^2}{1-\alpha(\Theta)}. \tag{1}$$

---

[1] Research is supported by RFBR grant № 09-01-00371a and by Scientific School grant НШ-691.2008.1

(ii) *Consider the case* $m = 2$. *Then*

$$\beta(\Theta) \geqslant \alpha(\Theta)(\alpha(\Theta) - 1). \tag{2}$$

(iii) *Consider the case* $m > 2$. *Suppose that* $\alpha(\Theta) > (5m^2)^{m-1}$. *Then*

$$\beta(\Theta) \geqslant (\alpha(\Theta))^{\frac{m}{m-1}} - 3\alpha(\Theta). \tag{3}$$

It is a well-known fact that under the conditions of Theorem 1 one has

$$\frac{m}{n} \leqslant \alpha(\Theta) \leqslant \beta(\Theta) \leqslant +\infty.$$

Moreover for $m = 1$ one has

$$\frac{1}{n} \leqslant \alpha(\Theta) \leqslant 1.$$

In [2] M.Laurent proved that in the cases $m = 1, n = 2$ and $m = 2, n = 1$ the inequalities of V.Jarník's theorem cannot be improved.

In the present note we give a sketched proof of an improvement of V.Jarník's theorem in the cases $m = 1, n = 3$, $m = 3, n = 1$ and $m = n = 2$.

2. Results. For $\alpha > 0$ put

$$g_1(\alpha) = \frac{\alpha(1-\alpha) + \sqrt{\alpha^2(1-\alpha)^2 + 4\alpha(2\alpha^2 - 2\alpha + 1)}}{2(2\alpha^2 - 2\alpha + 1)}.$$

The value $g_1(\alpha)$ is the largest root of the equation

$$(2\alpha^2 - 2\alpha + 1)x^2 + \alpha(\alpha - 1)x - \alpha = 0.$$

Given α consider a system of equations

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha}\frac{\delta}{\gamma} = \frac{\alpha}{\gamma(1-\alpha) - \alpha}. \tag{4}$$

Then there exist a solution of this system with $\delta = g_1(\alpha)$. Note that

$$g_1(1/3) = g_1(1) = 1$$

and for $1/3 < \alpha < 1$ one has $g_1(\alpha) > 1$. Let α_0 be the unique real root of the equation

$$x^3 - x^2 + 2x - 1 = 0.$$

In the interval $1/3 < \alpha < \alpha_0$ one has

$$g_1(\alpha) > \max\left(1, \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}\right).$$

Theorem 2. *Suppose that* $m = 1, n = 3$ *and the matrix* $\Theta = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 \\ \theta_3 \end{pmatrix}$ *consists of numbers linearly independent over* $\mathbb{Z}$ *together with* 1. *Then*

$$\beta(\Theta) \geqslant \alpha(\Theta) g_1(\alpha(\Theta)). \tag{5}$$

The inequality (5) is better than (1) in the interval $1/3 < \alpha(\Theta) < \alpha_0$.

For $\alpha \geqslant 3$ define

$$g_2(\alpha) = \sqrt{\alpha + \frac{1}{\alpha^2} - \frac{7}{4}} + \frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}, \quad h(\alpha) = \alpha - g_2(\alpha) - 1.$$

Here we should note that the functions $g_2(\alpha)$ and $h(\alpha)$ monotonically increase to $+\infty$ when $\alpha \to +\infty$ and

$$g_2(3) = h(3) = 1, \quad g_2(\alpha) \leqslant \alpha - 2.$$

Theorem 3. *Suppose that $m = 3, n = 1$ and the matrix $\Theta = (\theta^1, \theta^2, \theta^3)$ consists of numbers linearly independent over $\mathbb{Z}$ together with 1. Then*

$$\beta(\Theta) \geqslant \alpha(\Theta) g_2(\alpha(\Theta)). \tag{6}$$

The inequality (6) is better than (3) for all values of $\alpha(\Theta)$.

For $\alpha \geqslant 1$ put

$$g_3(\alpha) = \frac{1 - \alpha + \sqrt{(1-\alpha)^2 + 4\alpha(2\alpha^2 - 2\alpha + 1)}}{2\alpha}.$$

So $g_3(\alpha)$ is a solution of the equation

$$\alpha x^2 + (\alpha - 1)x - (2\alpha^2 - 2\alpha + 1) = 0. \tag{7}$$

We see that $g_3(1) = 1$ and for $\alpha > 1$ one has $g_3(\alpha) > 1$. Moreover in the interval

$$1 \leqslant \alpha < \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^2$$

one has

$$g_3(\alpha) > \max(1, \alpha - 1).$$

Theorem 4. *Consider four real numbers θ_j^i, $i, j = 1, 2$ linearly independent over $\mathbb{Z}$ together with 1. Let $m = n = 2$ and consider the matrix*

$$\Theta = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \theta_1^1 & \theta_1^2 \\ \theta_2^1 & \theta_2^2 \end{array}\right)$$

Then

$$\beta(\Theta) \geqslant \alpha(\Theta) g_3(\alpha(\Theta)). \tag{8}$$

Theorem 4 improves Theorem 1 for $\alpha(\Theta) \in \left(1, \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^2\right)$.

3. Best approximations.

For integer vector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, ..., x_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ put

$$\zeta(\mathbf{x}) = \max_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant n} ||L_j(\mathbf{x})||.$$

A point $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, ..., x_m)$ is defined to be a *best approximation* if

$$\zeta(\mathbf{x}) = \min_{\mathbf{x}'} \zeta(\mathbf{x}'),$$

where the minimum is taken over all $\mathbf{x}' = (x'_1, ..., x'_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ such that

$$0 < M(\mathbf{x}') \leqslant M(\mathbf{x}).$$

(For each best approximation $\mathbf{x}$, the point $-\mathbf{x}$ is also a best approximation.) Consider the case when all numbers $\theta^i_j,\ 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m,\ 1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Z}$ together with 1. Then all best approximations form the sequences

$$\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, ..., \mathbf{x}_\nu, \mathbf{x}_{\nu+1}, ...$$

$$M(\mathbf{x}_1) < M(\mathbf{x}_2) < ... < M(\mathbf{x}_\nu) < M(\mathbf{x}_{\nu+1}) < ...,$$

$$\zeta(\mathbf{x}_1) > \zeta(\mathbf{x}_2) > ... > \zeta(\mathbf{x}_\nu) > \zeta(\mathbf{x}_{\nu+1}) > ....$$

We use the notation

$$M_\nu = M(\mathbf{x}_\nu), \quad \zeta_\nu = \zeta(\mathbf{x}_\nu).$$

Define $y_{1,\nu}, ..., y_{n,\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ to be integers such that

$$||L_j(\mathbf{x}_\nu)|| = |L_j(\mathbf{x}_\nu) + y_{j,\nu}|.$$

We need the notation

$$\mathbf{z}_\nu = (x_{1,\nu}, ..., x_{m,\nu}, y_{1,\nu}, ..., y_{n,\nu}) \in \mathbb{Z}^d,\ d = m + n.$$

If

$$\psi_\Theta(t) \leqslant \psi(t)$$

with continuous and decreasing to zero function $\psi(t)$ one can easily see that

$$\zeta_\nu \leqslant \psi(M_{\nu+1}).$$

Some useful fact about best approximations one can find in [3].

4. Sketched proof of Theorem 2.

Suppose that

$$\psi_\Theta(t) \leqslant \psi(t)$$

with some continuous function $\psi(t)$ decreasing to zero as $t \to +\infty$. Moreover we suppose that the function $t \mapsto t \cdot \psi(t)$ increases to infinity as $t \to +\infty$.

Consider best approximation vectors $\mathbf{z}_\nu = (x_\nu, y_{1,\nu}, y_{2,\nu}, y_{3,\nu})$. From the condition that numbers $1, \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Z}$ we see that there exist infinitely many pairs of indices $\nu < k, \nu \to +\infty$ such that

- both triples

$$\mathbf{z}_{\nu-1}, \mathbf{z}_\nu, \mathbf{z}_{\nu+1}; \quad \mathbf{z}_{k-1}, \mathbf{z}_k, \mathbf{z}_{k+1}$$

consist of linearly independent vectors;

- there exists a two-dimensional linear subspace π such that

$$\mathbf{z}_l \in \pi,\ \ \nu \leqslant l \leqslant k; \quad \mathbf{z}_{\nu-1} \notin \pi,\ \ \mathbf{z}_{k+1} \notin \pi;$$

- the vectors

$$\mathbf{z}_{\nu-1}, \mathbf{z}_\nu, \mathbf{z}_k, \mathbf{z}_{k+1}$$

are linearly independent.

So

$$1 \leqslant |\det \begin{pmatrix} y_{1,\nu-1} & y_{2,\nu-1} & y_{3,\nu-1} & x_{\nu-1} \\ y_{1,\nu} & y_{2,\nu} & y_{3,\nu} & x_{\nu} \\ y_{1,k} & y_{2,k} & y_{3,k} & x_{k} \\ y_{1,k+1} & y_{2,k+1} & y_{3,k+1} & x_{k+1} \end{pmatrix} | \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant 24\zeta_{\nu-1}\zeta_\nu\zeta_k M_{k+1} \leqslant 24\psi(M_\nu)\psi(M_{\nu+1})\psi(M_{k+1})M_{k+1}. \tag{9}$$

Consider three cases.

1^0. Given $\gamma > \frac{\alpha(\Theta)}{1-\alpha(\Theta)}$ there exist infinitely many pairs (ν, k) such that

$$M_{k+1} \leqslant M_{\nu+1}^{\gamma}.$$

From (9) we deduce that

$$\frac{1}{24\psi(M_\nu)} \leqslant M_{\nu+1}^{\gamma} \cdot \psi(M_{\nu+1}) \cdot \psi(M_{\nu+1}^{\gamma})$$

Suppose the function $t \mapsto t^\gamma \cdot \psi(t) \cdot \psi(t^\gamma)$ to be increasing and let $\rho(t)$ be the inverse function. We see that

$$\zeta_\nu \leqslant \psi(M_{\nu+1}) \leqslant \psi\left(\rho\left(\frac{1}{24\psi(M_\nu)}\right)\right). \tag{10}$$

2^0. Given $\delta \geqslant 1$. There exist infinitely many pairs (ν, k) such that

$$M_{k+1} \geqslant M_k^{\delta}.$$

Then we immediately have

$$\zeta_k \leqslant \psi(M_{k+1}) \leqslant \psi(M_k^{\delta}). \tag{11}$$

3^0. There exist infinitely many pairs (ν, k) such that

$$M_{\nu+1}^{\gamma} \leqslant M_{k+1} \leqslant M_k^{\delta}.$$

Lemma 1. *Let $\mathbf{z}_\nu, a \leqslant \nu \leqslant b$ lie in a two-dimensional linear subspace $\pi \subset \mathbb{R}^4$. Then for all ν_1, ν_2 from the interval $a \leqslant \nu_j \leqslant b-1$ one has*

$$\zeta_{\nu_1} M_{\nu_1+1} \asymp_\Theta \zeta_{\nu_2} M_{\nu_2+1}.$$

Sketched proof of Lemma 1. Consider two-dimensional lattice $\Lambda = \pi \cap \mathbb{Z}^4$. The parallelepiped

$$\{\mathbf{z} = (x, y_1, y_2, y_3) : \ |x| < M_{k+1}, \ \max_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant 3} |\theta_j x - y_j| < \zeta_k\}$$

has no non-zero integer points inside for every k. So for $a \leqslant \nu \leqslant b-1$ we have

$$\zeta_\nu M_{\nu+1} \asymp_\Theta \det{}_2\Lambda.$$

Lemma 1 follows.

We apply Lemma 1 and obtain the inequality

$$\zeta_{k-1} \ll_\Theta \frac{M_{\nu+1}\psi(M_{\nu+1})}{M_k} \ll_\Theta M_k^{\frac{\delta}{\gamma}-1}\psi(M_k^{\frac{\delta}{\gamma}}) \leqslant M_{k-1}^{\frac{\delta}{\gamma}-1}\psi(M_{k-1}^{\frac{\delta}{\gamma}}) \tag{12}$$

(here we suppose the function $t \mapsto t^{\frac{\delta}{\gamma}-1}\psi(t^{\frac{\delta}{\gamma}})$ to be decreasing).

Given $\varepsilon > 0$ we put $\psi(t) = t^{-\alpha+\varepsilon}$ to deduce (5) from (10,15,18). Here we should take into account that $g_1(\alpha)$ satisfies the system (4).

5. Sketched proof of Theorem 3. Suppose that

$$\psi_\Theta(t) \leqslant \psi(t)$$

with some continuous function $\psi(t)$ decreasing to zero as $t \to +\infty$.

First of all consider the case when there exists a 3-dimensional linear subspace Π such that all vectors $\mathbf{z}_\nu = (x_{1,\nu}, x_{2,\nu}, x_{3,\nu}, y_\nu)$ belong to Π for all ν large enough. Then obviously we can apply the statement (ii) of Theorem 1 and (2) gives the bound which is better than (6).

So we can suppose that there exist infinitely many pairs of indices $\nu < k, \nu \to +\infty$ such that

- both triples

$$\mathbf{z}_{\nu-1}, \mathbf{z}_\nu, \mathbf{z}_{\nu+1}; \quad \mathbf{z}_{k-1}, \mathbf{z}_k, \mathbf{z}_{k+1}$$

consist of linearly independent vectors;

- there exists a two-dimensional linear subspace π such that

$$\mathbf{z}_l \in \pi, \ \ \nu \leqslant l \leqslant k; \quad \mathbf{z}_{\nu-1} \notin \pi, \ \ \mathbf{z}_{k+1} \notin \pi;$$

- the vectors

$$\mathbf{z}_{\nu-1}, \mathbf{z}_\nu, \mathbf{z}_{\nu+1}, \mathbf{z}_{k+1}$$

are linearly independent.

Now we see that

$$1 \leqslant |\det \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,\nu-1} & x_{2,\nu-1} & x_{3,\nu-1} & y_{\nu-1} \\ x_{1,\nu} & x_{2,\nu} & x_{3,\nu} & y_\nu \\ x_{1,\nu+1} & x_{2,\nu+1} & x_{3,\nu+1} & y_{\nu+1} \\ x_{1,k+1} & x_{2,k+1} & x_{3,k+1} & y_{k+1} \end{pmatrix} | \leqslant 24\zeta_{\nu-1} M_\nu M_{\nu+1} M_{k+1} \leqslant 24\psi(M_\nu) M_\nu M_{\nu+1} M_{k+1}. \quad (13)$$

Consider three cases.

1^0. There exist infinitely many pairs (ν, k) such that

$$M_{k+1} \leqslant M_\nu^{h(\alpha(\Theta))}.$$

From (13) we deduce that

$$M_{\nu+1} \geqslant \frac{1}{24\psi(M_\nu) M_\nu^{1+h(\alpha(\Theta))}}, \quad \zeta_\nu \leqslant \psi\left(\frac{1}{24\psi(M_\nu) M_\nu^{1+h(\alpha(\Theta))}}\right).$$

The inequality (6) follows from the last inequality immediately.

2^0. There exist infinitely many pairs (ν, k) such that

$$M_{k+1} \geqslant M_k^{g_2(\alpha(\Theta))},$$

Then we immediately have

$$\zeta_k \leqslant \psi(M_{k+1}) \leqslant \psi(M_k^{g_2(\alpha(\Theta))}),$$

and (6) follows.

3^0. There exist infinitely many pairs (ν, k) such that

$$M_\nu^{h(\alpha(\Theta))} \leqslant M_{k+1} \leqslant M_k^{g_2(\alpha(\Theta))}.$$

Lemma 2. *Let* $\mathbf{z}_\nu, a \leqslant \nu \leqslant b$ *lie in a two-dimensional linear subspace* $\pi \subset \mathbb{R}^4$*. Then for all* ν_1, ν_2 *from the interval* $a \leqslant \nu_j \leqslant b-1$ *one has*

$$\zeta_{\nu_1} M_{\nu_1+1} \asymp_\Theta \zeta_{\nu_2} M_{\nu_2+1}.$$

Sketched proof of Lemma 2. Consider the projection of the subspace π onto the 3-dimensional subsbace

$$\mathcal{L}(\Theta) = \{\mathbf{z} = (x_1, x_2, x_3, y) : \ \theta^1 x_1 + \theta^2 x_2 + \theta^3 x_3 + y = 0\}.$$

In the general situation this projection is a two-dimensional subspace π^*. The intersection $\ell = \pi \cap \pi^*$ form a one-dimensional subspace. The distance from a point $\mathbf{z} \in \pi$ to the subspace $\mathcal{L}$ is proportional to the distance from $\mathbf{z}$ to ℓ. Let δ be the coefficient of this proportionality The vectors $\mathbf{z}_l$ become the vectors of the best approximations (associated with the induced norm on π) from a lattice $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^4 \cap \pi$ to the one-dimensional subspace ℓ. By the Minkowski convex body theorem applied to the two-dimensional lattice Λ we deduce that

$$\gamma_1(\Theta)\delta \det\Lambda \leqslant \zeta_\nu M_{\nu+1} \leqslant \gamma_2(\Theta)\delta \det\Lambda, \quad a \leqslant \nu \leqslant b-1$$

with some positive constants $\gamma_i(\Theta)$, $i = 1, 2$ depending on Θ. Lemma 2 is proved.

In the case $\mathbf{3}^0$ we apply Lemma 2 an obtain the inequality

$$\zeta_\nu \ll_\Theta \frac{\psi(M_k)M_k}{M_{\nu+1}} \ll_\Theta \psi\left(M_\nu^{\frac{h(\alpha(\Theta))}{g_2(\alpha(\Theta))}}\right) M_\nu^{\frac{h(\alpha(\Theta))}{g_2(\alpha(\Theta))}-1}.$$

As

$$\alpha(g_2(\alpha))^2 + (\alpha-2)g_2(\alpha) - (\alpha-1)^2 = 0,$$

we deduce (6) in each case.

6. Sketched proof of Theorem 4.

Define

$$R(\Theta) = \min_{\Pi} \dim \Pi \geqslant 2,$$

where the minimum is taken over all linear subspaces $\Pi \subseteq \mathbb{R}^4$ such that there exists ν_0 such that for all $\nu \geqslant \nu_0$ one has $\mathbf{z}_\nu = (x_{1,\nu}, x_{2,\nu}, y_{1\nu}, y_{2,\nu}) \in \Pi$.

We consider several cases.

$\mathbf{1}^0$**.** Suppose that $R(\Theta) = 2$. Then (by Theorem 8 from [3], Section 2.1, applied to the case $m = n = 2$) we see that $\alpha(\Theta) = 1$ and there is nothing to prove.

$\mathbf{2}^0$**.** The equality $R(\Theta) = 3$ is not possible (see Corollary 4 from the Section 2.1 from [3]).

$\mathbf{3}^0$**.** Suppose that $R(\Theta) = 4$. Then there exist infinitely many pairs of indices $\nu < k, \nu \to +\infty$ such that

- both triples

$$\mathbf{z}_{\nu-1}, \mathbf{z}_\nu, \mathbf{z}_{\nu+1}; \quad \mathbf{z}_{k-1}, \mathbf{z}_k, \mathbf{z}_{k+1}$$

consist of linearly independent vectors;

- there exists a two-dimensional linear subspace π such that

$$\mathbf{z}_l \in \pi, \ \ \nu \leqslant l \leqslant k; \quad \mathbf{z}_{\nu-1} \notin \pi, \ \ \mathbf{z}_{k+1} \notin \pi;$$

- the vectors

$$\mathbf{z}_{\nu-1}, \mathbf{z}_\nu, \mathbf{z}_k, \mathbf{z}_{k+1}$$

are linearly independent.

So

$$1 \leqslant |\det \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,\nu-1} & x_{2,\nu-1} & y_{1,\nu-1} & y_{2,\nu-1} \\ x_{1,\nu} & x_{2,\nu} & y_{1,\nu} & y_{2,\nu} \\ x_{1,k} & x_{2,k} & y_{1,k} & x_{2,k} \\ x_{1,k+1} & x_{2,k+1} & y_{1,k+1} & y_{2,k+1} \end{pmatrix} | \leqslant 24\zeta_{\nu-1}\zeta_\nu M_k M_{k+1}.$$

Suppose that a function $\psi(t)$ decrease to zero as $t \to +\infty$. Suppose also that the function $t \mapsto t \cdot \psi(t)$ also decrease to zero. Suppose that

$$\psi_\Theta(t) \leqslant \psi(t)$$

for all positive t. Then $\zeta_l \leqslant \psi(M_{l+1})$, $l = 1, 2, 3, ..$ and

$$1 \leqslant 24 M_{k+1} M_k \psi(M_{\nu+1}) \psi(M_\nu). \tag{14}$$

We must consider two subcases.

3.1^0. Given $\gamma > 1$ there exist infinitely many pairs (ν, k) such that

$$M_{k+1} \geqslant M_k^\gamma.$$

Then we immediately have

$$\zeta_k \leqslant \psi(M_{k+1}) \leqslant \psi(M_k^\gamma). \tag{15}$$

3.2^0. There exist infinitely many pairs (ν, k) such that

$$M_{k+1} \leqslant M_k^\gamma.$$

Then from (14) we see that

$$M_k \geqslant (\psi(M_\nu))^{-\frac{2}{1+\gamma}}. \tag{16}$$

Consider two-dimensional lattice $\Lambda = \pi \cap \mathbb{Z}^4$ with the fundamental two-dimensional volume $\det \Lambda$.

We may suppose that $\dim \mathcal{L} \cap \pi = 1$ (the case $\mathcal{L} \cap \pi = \mathbf{0}$ can be considered in a similar way).

For any point $\mathbf{z} \in \pi$ the distance from $\mathbf{z}$ to the two-dimensional linear subspace

$$\mathcal{L} = \{\mathbf{z} = (x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) : \ \theta_1^1 x_1 + \theta_1^2 x_2 + y_1 = \theta_2^1 x_1 + \theta_2^2 x_2 + y_2 = 0\}$$

is proportional to the distance from $\mathbf{z}$ to the one-dimensional linear subspace $\mathcal{L} \cap \pi$. Let δ be the coefficient of this proportionality (the "angle" between two-dimensional subspaces π and $\mathcal{L}$). The parallelepiped

$$\{\mathbf{z} = (x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) : \ |x| < M_{l+1}, \ \max_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant 3} |\theta_j x - y_j| < \zeta_l\}$$

has no non-zero integer points inside for every l. From the Minkowski convex body theorem e wee that

$$\gamma_1(\Theta) \delta \det \Lambda \leqslant \zeta_l M_{l+1} \leqslant \gamma_2(\Theta) \delta \det \Lambda, \quad \nu \leqslant l \leqslant k-1 \tag{17}$$

with some positive constants $\gamma_i(\Theta)$, $i = 1, 2$ dependind on Θ. So from (16) and (17) we see that

$$\zeta_\nu \ll_\Theta \frac{\psi(M_k) M_k}{M_{\nu+1}} \ll_\Theta M_\nu^{-1} (\psi(M_\nu))^{-\frac{2}{1+\gamma}} \psi\left((\psi(M_\nu))^{-\frac{2}{1+\gamma}}\right). \tag{18}$$

We should consider $\psi(t) = t^{-\alpha(\Theta)+\varepsilon}$ for small positive ε. As $\gamma = g_3(\alpha(\Theta))$ satisfies (7) Theorem 2 follows.

References

[1] В.Ярник, К теории однородных линейных диофантовых приближений. // Чехословацкий математический журнал, т. 4 (79), 330 - 353 (1954).

[2] M.Laurent, Exponents of Diophantine approximations in dimension two.// Canad.J.Math. 61, 1 (2009),165 - 189; preprint available at arXiv:math/0611352v1 (2006).

[3] N.G.Moshchevitin, Khintchine's singular systems and their applications.//Russian Math. Surveys. 65:3 43 - 126 (2010);(2010) Preprint available at arXiv: (2009).